

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 grins 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/092,323 | 03/06/2002 | Steven M. Zink | 02SW049 | 9035 | | |
| Susan M. Dor | 7590 01/29/200 ahue | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| Rockwell Automation, 704-P,IP Department 1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204 | | | TRUONG, I | TRUONG, LAN DAI T | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2452 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 01/20/2000 | DADED | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/092,323 | | ZINK ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | LAN-DAI Thi TRUONG | 2452 | | |
| | | | | |

| | LAN-DAI Thi TRUONG | 2452 | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | | |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | lianes with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4 | Slad within two worth | a of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying t | he issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | .,, | , | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9-19.21.22.24-28.31 and 33-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and | | | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | Wanny S. Lin/ | | | | | | | |
| | /Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2452 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: newly amended claim would raise new issues those require further considerations and searches, such as:

Regarding claim 1: "... according to a beginning memory address..., followed by a length and then followed by values....only...". Regarding claim 1: according to a beginning interiory address..., nitowed by a reign and trien notioned by values....only...
Regarding claim 21: ...employing only the handle information...
Regarding claim 31:footiguous or non-contiguous address memory locations....
Regarding claim 33:according to a beginning address of a first data item in a group, followed by a length and then followed by

values relating to the data items in the group ...".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan-Dai Thi Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-7959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.